



March 2026 Release Notes (date of release March 27, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2083	Accounts Payable	Invoice	Invoice: Allow AP Review/Business Review approval to 'Send Back' Invoice to Business Review/ 'Assignment' step of workflow	<p>COVA requested to allow the invoice to go back to 'Business Review' step when it is in 'AP Review' approval. Also, send an email notification to the Business Review users/role that would notify the user that further action should be taken on the invoice. Additionally, allow invoices to be sent back to 'Assignment' step from 'Business Review' step. Send email notification that would notify that further action should be taken on the invoice.</p> <p>Business Impact: This enhancement would allow the AP Team to send invoices back for correction to the business owner. This reinforces invoice approval and authorization at the business owner level. For some agencies AP Review would not be able to handle the volume if they were responsible for invoice updates.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented Change 1: Allow the invoice to go back to 'Business Review' step from 'AP Review' step. Change 2: Allow the invoice to go back to 'Assignment' step from 'Business Review' step.</p>
2105	Accounts Payable	Invoice	Invoice: Add Organization & Site columns to Browse Invoices screen	<p>COVA asked to add Organization & Site columns to Browse Invoices screen on the Buyer side and newly added columns show correct information for which the invoice is tied to.</p> <p>Business Impact: For AP shops that divide invoice work by units within their organization, adding the Organization/Site from the PO as a column on the Browse Invoices screen would help AP users quickly see which ones to work. Without it, they would have to click into each INV and then PO to see which ones to work. This would lock the INV and they would have to remember to unlock it if it wasn't one they should work.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Add Organization & Site columns to browse invoices page and newly added columns show correct information for which the invoice is tied to.</p>
2280	Accounts Payable	Invoice	Invoice OCR: Receipt line logic when 1 partial receipt on multiple line PO	<p>While testing Key 2152: "Invoice: OCR Invoice - Allocation set to 200% in UI", it was found that when an invoice linked to a PO with multiple lines that has partial receipt is loaded through Optical Character Recognition (OCR), the system does a 'partial' PO flip where the line that should not be included in the invoice is included and considered in the total invoice amount on the invoice.</p> <p>CGI Research: Add logic to delete the partial po flip line and corresponding allocation, when there is only 1 Receipt and the Invoice is created via OCR</p> <p>Business Impact: Lines that should not be invoiced yet will be included on invoice when invoice linked to a PO with multiple lines has 1 partial receipt is loaded through OCR.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Add logic to delete the partial po flip line and corresponding allocation, when there is only 1 Receipt and the Invoice is created via OCR</p>



March 2026 Release Notes (date of release March 27, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2302	Accounts Payable	Invoice	Invoice: Remove item line(s) & allocation information from invoice if created from PO flip with no receipt	<p>During COVA testing, they found that when invoices are created from PO flip on Supplier side and the PO does not have a receipt, the PO line(s) pull into the invoice but allocation information is missing. Additionally, if invoice has multiple receipts, upon submit, the PO invoice lines/allocations should not be deleted.</p> <p>CGI Research: The logic to delete PO line(s) and the allocation information associated with the PO is set for IDC origin. Additionally, if invoice has multiple receipts, upon submit, the PO invoice lines/allocations should not be deleted.</p> <p>Business Impact: The logic to remove all PO line(s) and allocation information associated with PO is not consistent and only works when invoice is created through OCR.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented:</p> <p># Update the origin to include Buyer/Supplier side PO flip along with IDC to delete PO line(s) and allocation information associated with the PO if the invoice is created from a PO Flip where the PO has no receipts.</p> <p># If invoice is created from receipt flip and multiple receipts from same PO is added, upon submit, the PO invoice lines/allocations should not be deleted.</p>
2269	Buyers	Analytics	Create new KPI for REQ and PO Cycle Times to show Days	<p>In Analytics, the REQ and PO Cycle Time Query is showing the result in Hours.</p> <p>Create new KPI to show the value in Days instead of the OTB measure of Hours.</p>
2218	Buyers	Contracting	Contract Internal Contacts: Hide Commenter and Track Changes Approver from Profile Dropdown	<p>COVA reported questions with the additional contract profiles available for the internal contacts and requested those profiles be hidden as to not confuse users as eVA does not currently use Contract Authoring as a functionality.</p> <p>Business Impact: Having these extra internal profiles can be confusing since they aren't currently used.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Hide Commenter and Track Changes Approver (internal) profiles from the internal contact team within Contract record.</p>



March 2026 Release Notes (date of release March 27, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
				<p>COVA reported the Currency field is not populated for multiple amended contracts on the Negotiated Terms tab. This is a required field for the Items on the Price List on a contract and impacts the billing process.</p> <p>CGI research confirmed the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Currently set to default to USD - populates after first Save action on Contract. This default is currently in place. * The currency field can be blanked out and the contract submits successfully with blank Currency field value. * When copying or amending the contract, the value in the currency field will be carried over to the new version, including the blank value (it will not default to USD because it is being copied from originating contract). When copying a contract, the currency field is not required. <p>Business impact: Issues with billing process after contract is approved. Affects payment and contract validity.</p> <p>Workaround: Manually enter Currency field</p> <p>Change to be implemented:</p> <p>Make change on the Negotiated terms tab on a Contract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Make Currency field required * Default Currency field to 'USD' - this functionality is already in place when creating a new contract. When copying or amending a contract with a blank Currency value, Copy functionality will copy the blank value into the new contract. By making the field required, user will be required to enter a value preventing a blank Currency value moving forward.
2227	Buyers	Contracting	Contract: Make Currency field required on the Negotiated Terms Tab	<p>Note - On the Browse Contract page, only contracts in USD will be visible. There is an existing filter that is hidden and set to USD and Read-Only. This is a known Ivalua implemented functionality and will be logged with a separate ticket.</p>
2243	Buyers	Contracting	Contract Line Items Can be edited in final contract by any users	<p>COVA reported "When we click on the product code in the price list, it let's us edit all of the details of the line. Looks like we could even delete the lines.... It only let's you get to this edit screen when you click the product code, if you click the pencil icon you aren't given the same edit options."</p> <p>CGI Research: We were able to recreate the issue and logged in as various users [sealed sourcing, invoice OCR, requisitioner], all of which were able to click the product code link in the Contract Price List grid and edit the line items from both signed running and expired contracts. These users were not on the contract team but were still able to edit line items. Additional observation: This Product Code link is also enabled on the Shop - Search Products page and allows users to edit product information when they do not have edit access (do not have the Edit pencil icon).</p> <p>Business Impact: Users should not be able to edit/delete contract line items on contracts where they are not a contact.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented as discussed with COVA on 1/26/2026:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Disable the Product Code link in the Contract - Price List grid to prevent users from opening the data entry page using the Product Code link. For users with access to edit the information, the Edit (pencil) icon will be available. b. Disable the Product Code link on the Shop - Search Products page to prevent users from editing product information when they do not have edit access (do not have the Edit pencil icon).



March 2026 Release Notes (date of release March 27, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2228	Buyers	Data Management	Update Pending Validations Forwarded column to hour:minute to match the Action Date column format	<p>Reported while testing Key 2161 that on the "My Pending Validations" page, the Forwarded column format is not the same as the Action date column. Forwarded column is currently formatted as hour:minute:second. Need to update the Forwarded column to hour:minute for consistently.</p> <p>Change to be implemented: Update the Forwarded column to hour:minute to match Action Date column</p>
2219	Buyers	Invoice	Remove 'Add..Items' options in a Non-PO Invoice when no Order is attached	<p>Overview: While testing,it was found that the current functionality allows you to create an Invoice with an Org that is not attached to the invoice line items.</p> <p>CGI Research: This issue also occurs in the 'Add Delivered items' list.</p> <p>Business Impact: Creation of incorrect invoices can cause confusion.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Remove the option to 'Add..Items' when no Purchase Order is selected in a Non-PO Invoice. * Make the Order dropdown filter read-only when adding delivered items to invoice. * These changes will be applied to both Buyer Side and Supplier Side.
2275	Buyers	Invoice	Invoice: Change label "Decline" to "Cancel Draft"	<p>COVA requested that on draft Invoices, under Other Actions, change the "Decline" option on the menu to read "Cancel Draft". The change should be made both on the buyer side. Supplier side already shows as 'Cancel Invoice' button and that can stay as is unless that needs to be updated as well.</p> <p>Business Impact: To make the terminology consistent with the status that results from clicking that.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: On draft Invoices, under Other Actions, change the "Decline" option on the menu to read "Cancel Draft". The change should be made both on the buyer side and the supplier side.</p>
2213	Buyers	P2P	QM Amount not matching REQ	<p>Overview: Issue was reported that the REQ total shown in the QM to be assigned/ PR's to be assigned isn't matching to what's on the requisition.</p> <p>CGI Research: It was found that the REQ total shown in the QM does not match the total on the requisiton because of an error in the query that is triggered at the initialization of the Buyer Manager step. This query currently directs the system to total the items that are not in 'can' status but does not account for the items in 'del' status. Due to this error in the query, the REQ total shown in the QM accounts for the new items added to the REQ and the ones that were deleted while duplicating an existing PR.</p> <p>Business Impact: Approvers/Assigners prioritize orders based on REQ totals so the totals being incorrect causes confusion.</p> <p>Change(s) to be implemented: Add 'del' status in the not equal (<>) piece in the existing query to exclude those deleted lines in the future.</p>



March 2026 Release Notes (date of release March 27, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2264	Buyers	P2P	Timeouts caused by query used in the Alert - "eva_missing_mandatory_allocation"	<p>During analysis of the frequent timeouts encountered within the application, it was identified that the method "CheckAutomaticErrors" used by lvalua application to check for Object related Alerts is one of the root causes. This is a generic method used from within the application, and triggers from various points in the application. The queries which it uses differs based on from where it has been triggered within the application. One such triggering point is from the basket manage page, in which one of the query (linked to alert "eva_missing_mandatory_allocation") identified is taking more time for execution under load/concurrency.</p> <p>CGI Research: One such triggering point is from the basket manage page, in which one of the query (linked to alert "eva_missing_mandatory_allocation") identified is taking more time for execution under load/concurrency which results in timeouts that have been seen in PROD.</p> <p>Business Impact: Timeouts are seen due to query (linked to alert "eva_missing_mandatory_allocation") identified is taking more time for execution under load/concurrency which results in timeouts seen in PROD.</p> <p>Change to be implemented: Rewrite the Alert Query for "eva_missing_mandatory_allocation" to ensure it executes within the permitted limits during load/concurrency.</p>
2265	Buyers	P2P	Timeouts caused by callback query - eva_basket_lookahead_header (Manage Header for Approvers Lookahead)	<p>Problem / Impact Database analysis showed that the callback query eva_basket_lookahead_header was causing heavy blocking and frequent timeouts under high concurrency. This query updates t_ord_basket, which is shared by multiple alerts and core application queries, so locks from this callback degraded overall performance.</p> <p>Where the callback runs (Requisition):</p> <p>Purchase Requisition tab – initialization callback (every time a user lands on the page)</p> <p>Save / Save & Close – "methods after save" callbacks</p> <p>Workflow Preview & Ad Hoc / Upcoming Approval & Ad Hoc – initialization of "Approvals Overview"</p> <p>Because these are high-touch paths, the blocking led to frequent PROD performance issues/timeouts.</p> <p>Business Purpose of the Query eva_basket_lookahead_header loads data used to display the Lookahead Control – Bucket Progress for the requisition across the above entry points.</p> <p>Change / Fix Implemented Approach: Refactor the callback query to reduce locking on t_ord_basket and improve behavior under concurrent workloads.</p> <p>Technical note: The solution involved modifying the Lookahead.sql callback query (attachment) to minimize locking impact while preserving required lookahead functionality.</p>



March 2026 Release Notes (date of release March 27, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2273	Buyers	P2P	Disable the Alert (eva_rec_amt_po) - Query having Timeouts Errors.	<p>This ticket is created to reapply the change done via Key 2214, which got overwritten in the February Tag (V1.2.114) by</p> <p>The query used in the Alert - eva_rec_amt_po is causing timeout. The Alert needs to be revisited to verify the need. Till further decisions are made on this, Alert has to be disabled.</p> <p>CGI Research: The query used in the Alert - eva_rec_amt_po is causing timeout. Till further decisions are made on this, Alert has to be disabled.</p> <p>Business Impact: The query used in the Alert - eva_rec_amt_po is causing timeout and impacting user experience navigating around.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Disable eva_rec_amt_po alert until further notice.</p>
2252	Buyers	Sourcing	My To Do List - Remove Projects in Draft Status	<p>COVA reported that Quick Quotes are staying in user To Do Lists, making it appear they still have action to take on the QQ. This can cause user confusion. It also clutters the To Do List.</p> <p>CGI Research: CGI confirmed that draft sourcing projects (all types including QQ) are appearing in the user's To Do Lists in both ST and UAT environments.</p> <p>Change to be implemented: Add a Sql filter to filter the records in Pending validation List</p>
2289	Buyers	Supplier	Load SWaM Data from SBSB into lvalua tables	<p>Create a process to load SWaM data from SBSB into lvalua.</p> <p>To ensure that the Supplier SWaM information in eVA is in sync with the SWaM data in SBSB, the SBSB data will be loaded into new tables in lvalua. These tables can be used by System Assurance logic to update Supplier SWaM data in eVA.</p> <p>Change to be implemented:</p> <p>Create new table in lvalua to store the SBSB SWaM information. [table and fields need to be defined]</p> <p>Create a batch job that calls the SBSB web service get All Vendors and write the data to the new table. This batch job should be scheduled to run daily [time to be defined] but should have the ability to also be run ad-hoc at any time. The table should only contain the latest data from SBSB. [It has to be defined if older records should be archived or purged prior to each run of the batch job]</p>
2150	Data Warehouse	Contracting / Data Warehouse	IV: Contract documents not extracted to DW - lvalua change to populate last modified	<p>Issue: Data Warehouse (DW) team reported that documents being added to a contract that is in a running status are not being extracted to DW.</p> <p>CGI research: It was noted that when adding new documents to a contract that is already finalized / in 'Running', the Last Modified date and time do not get updated from clicking Save only from the documents page. The user would be required to navigate back to the contract header and click save in order to capture the most recent Last Modification date/time.</p> <p>Business impact: DW does not contain information for the most recently added documents to a contract.</p> <p>Change needed or to be implemented: Set the Last Modified information for the Contract (date/time, modified by) when clicking a Save action on the documents page, when adding or updating a document.</p>



March 2026 Release Notes (date of release March 27, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2262	Data Warehouse	Contracting / Data Warehouse	DW: Update the latest document information for a contract (ETL)	<p>When a document is updated in a contract, the updated information of the document is not available in FACT_CTRDOCUMENTS table in DW. This table contains the information of the document when it was loaded in DW for the first time.</p> <p>This ticket will track the DW side changes: ETL changes - Currently some documents are missed or do not have latest document information in DW and changes will be implemented to add/update the document information for the contract in DW.</p> <p>Corresponding Ivalua changes are part of ticket 2150.</p>
2267	Data Warehouse	Data Warehouse	DW: Load allocation Organization in FACT_POACCTLNS	<p>The organization in the allocations of an order is not stored in DW. Modify the DW ETL to load this data into FACT_POACCTLNS table.</p> <p>Fields to load: ORGA_LEVEL ORGA_NODE ORGANIZATION_KEY: Derive from the allocation organization. The KEY value to point to corresponding record in DIM_AGENCIES table.</p>
2271	Data Warehouse	Data Warehouse	DW: Extract COA fields for use in report 200 (ETL)	<p>COVA have a need to use the chart of account fields set up for an agency in report 200. Using this information, report 200 displays the relevant (used) COA fields for each agency in report 200. Extract the list of COA fields set up for agencies into DW to facilitate report 200.</p> <p>Change to be implemented: We need to set up an extract process from IV to DW to pull the list of COA fields that are active for each agency.</p>
2231	Integration	Invoice	Invoice: Stuck in 'Integration Send' step because eva_inv_int - Invoice Integration Export step is failing.	<p>While testing Key 2259: "Invoice OCR: Add receipt Delivery Date to the Invoice OK2Pay Send file", it was found that the invoice gets stuck at 'Integration Send' step because eva_inv_int - Invoice Integration Export EAI associated with this step is throwing an error.</p> <p>CGI Research: Fix to the query of the table reference within the Join that was causing the block. Change from inv2.invoice_id to inv.invoice_id</p> <p>Business Impact: Invoice is stuck at 'Integration Send' step and cannot go forward.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Fix to the query of the table reference within the Join that was causing the block. Change from inv2.invoice_id to inv.invoice_id</p>
2259	Integration	Invoice	Invoice OCR: Add receipt Delivery Date to the Invoice OK2Pay Send file	<p>Overview: While testing Key 2190, it was found that the OK2Pay file does not include the Receipt Delivery Date when loading an invoice through OCR/Not created from receipt.</p> <p>CGI Research: Updated eva_full_inv_xml query with logic to pull the delivery date based on the invoice line item so it is pulled regardless of if user selects a receipt in the shipping number dropdown</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Create a logic to display the 'Receipt Delivery Date' at the bottom of invoice_header node on the OK2Pay send for the line item(s) on invoice regardless of receipt information displaying under shipping number field on invoice. MAX 'Receipt Delivery Date' if multiple lines with different receipts on the invoice.</p> <p>Business Impact: Receipt Delivery date will not display on Invoice OK2Pay send file if no receipt is selected on the shipping number field on Invoice.</p>
2296	Supplier	Catalog	Disable Catalog Import for Suppliers	<p>COVA reported the desire to disable to catalog import functionality for suppliers.</p> <p>CGI confirmed that catalog imports are not being utilized by a large number of suppliers. The ones that are assume that once they submit the import it is published. COVA and the catalog team have to manually publish and approve the catalogs.</p> <p>Change to be implemented: Hide the Add New Catalog button on the Manage Catalogs page for suppliers</p>



March 2026 Release Notes (date of release March 27, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2308	User	User Administration	Accessibility mode shows Ivalua logo - Manual Change	<p>COVA requested to show the eVA logo instead of the Ivalua logo in Accessibility/High Contrast mode.</p> <p>CGI research: In looking at this in ST, UAT & PROD, it was found that in the ST environment, the eVA Logo does display when in Accessibility / High Contrast mode. However, when in UAT or PROD, the Ivalua logo displays.</p> <p>Business impact: eVA logo should display for consistency.</p> <p>Change needed: Update UAT & PROD to display the eVA Logo when in the Accessibility / High Contrast mode.</p>
2183	User Management	Reporting	Logi: Logi Status dropdown is not changing to Valid after the Logi Access Sync Button is selected for new users.	<p>COVA reported that for a new buyer, the Logi Status dropdown is not Changing to Valid after the Logi Access Sync Button is selected.</p> <p>CGI Research: Status dropdown within the logi access page shows if the user has access to logi report center or not. No real functionality on the dropdown. Not related to the User Status on Ivalua. Making this dropdown to show default 'valid'.</p> <p>Business Impact: Users need access to run reports and view documents.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Set the status dropdown value to default value of 'Valid' on Logi access page when user is created.</p>
2229	User Management	User Administration	Update to the eVA Admin Internal Profile (eVA Security Standards Requirement) - Hide Duplicate User Button from User Record Header	<p>COVA the desire to disable the Duplicate User functionality to prevent security issues. The Duplicate User button allow users to grant permission that they are not authorized to grant.</p> <p>CGI researched confirmed that the Duplicate Users authorization (auth_duplicate_user) is restricted to eVA Administrators and Administrator profiles*. This EBUG will restrict the Duplicate User authorization to Administrators only (will have this authorization).</p> <p>Business Impact: compliance with security standards</p> <p>Workaround: ignore duplicate button</p> <p>Change to be implemented: Remove the authorization (auth_duplicate_user) from the eVA Administrator profile to hide Duplicate User Button.</p>
2276	Users	User Administration	Update a link in the Acceptable Use Policy that is presented to buyers upon their initial login - Manual Change	<p>Update a link in the Acceptable Use Policy. The policy is presented electronically to buyers upon their initial login. Buyers are required to accept the form before they can enter into the eVA website. The link to VITA's documentation has changed and needs to be updated.</p> <p>Old Link: To learn more about the Information Security Standards, go here: http://vita.virginia.gov/uploadedFiles/VITA_Main_Public/Library/PSGs/HostedEnvironmentInformationSecurityStandardSEC52501.pdf</p> <p>Change to be made: update to new link</p> <p>New Link: To learn more about the Information Security Standards, go here: https://www.vita.virginia.gov/media/vitavirginiagov/it-governance/psgs/pdf/SEC530_Information_Security_Standard.pdf</p>